RON WYDEN, OREGON, CHAIRMAN

DEBBIE STABENOW, MICHIGAN MARIA CANTWELL, WASHINGTON ROBERT MENENDEZ, NEW JERSEY THOMAS R. CARPER, DELAWARE BENJAMIN L. CARDIN, MARYLAND SHEROD BROWN, OHIO MICHAEL F. BENNET, COLORADO ROBERT P. CASEY, JR., PENNSYLVANIA MARK R. WARNER, VIRGINIA SHELDON WHITEHOUSE, RHODE ISLAND MAGGIE HASSAN, NEW HAMPSHIRE CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO, NEVADA ELIZABETH WARREN, MASSACHUSETTS

MIKE CRAPO, IDAHO
CHUCK GRASSLEY, IOWA
JOHN CORNYN, TEXAS
JOHN THUNE, SOUTH DAKOTA
TIM SCOTT, SOUTH CAROLINA
BILL CASSIDY, LOUISIANA
JAMES LANKFORD, OKLAHOMA
STEVE DAINES, MONTANA
TODD YOUNG, INDIANA
JOHN BARRASSO, WYOMING
RON JOHNSON, WISCONSIN
THOM TILLIS, NORTH CAROLINA
MARSHA BLACKBURN, TENNESSEE



COMMITTEE ON FINANCE
WASHINGTON, DC 20510-6200

JOSHUA SHEINKMAN, STAFF DIRECTOR GREGG RICHARD, REPUBLICAN STAFF DIRECTOR

July 24, 2023

Leon D. Black c/o Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck LLP 410 Seventeeth Street Suite 2200 Denver, Colorado 80202-4432

Dear Mr. Black,

As Chairman of the Senate Finance Committee ("the Committee"), I write regarding Committee's investigation into your financial dealings with Jeffrey Epstein. This effort is an ongoing set of investigations by the Committee into the means by which ultra-high ne persons avoid or evade paying federal taxes, including gift affideestiteestigations include the avoidance of billions of dollars in income, gift and estate taxes through the use shelters such as Private Placement Life Insurance; the possible circumvention of laws regift taxes and deductions involving the complementary use of private aircraft/superyacht public officials; and the use of Swiss banks by wealthy taxpayers to expatriate from the Ustates and evade gift taxes while concealing offshore accounts from the Internal Revenu Service (IRS).

As you are aware, the Committee is investigating the \$158 million in payments you to Epstein for services related to a variety of tax and estate planning matters. In particul Committee seeks information on Epstein's participation in structuring trusts and other contransactions designed to avoid federal gift and estate taxes on as much as \$2 billion in we transferred to your children. The Committee also seeks information regarding Epstein's extraordinary compensation scheme, which involved amounts that far exceeded those payother professional advisors involved in your tax and estate planning.

Unfortunately, the inadequate responses you have provided the Committee only ramore questions than answers, and fail to address a number of tax issues my staff has uncover the course of this investigation. This includes understanding the amount by which y overpaid income from assets placed in a trust while devising a scheme to ensure that the worth billions of dollars, would remain outside your taxable estate. Additionally, you have refused to answer questions or provide documents related to payments you made to Epst

substantiate how such payments were calculated or were compensation for services. You to substantiate Epstein's compensation scheme has heightened the Committee's concern whether such payments were properly characterized as income or gifts for tax purposes.

Background

As you know, on June 22, 2022, the Committee initiated an investigation into your business arrangement with Epstein. As part of the Committee's investigation, the Commit a letter to Apollo Global Management ("Apollo") with detailed requests for information regarding the findings of a review commissioned by Apollo's Board of Directors into your financial ties to Epsteine Board's review was initiated in response to Epstein's indictment federal court on sex trafficking charges involving the exploitation and abuse of dozens of underage girlishe findings of the Apollo board's review, compiled in a report prepared by law firm Dechert LLP ("the Dechert report"), were published in a filing by Apollo with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The Dechert report indicated that you paid Epstein \$158 million between 2012 and for his advice on several tax and estate planfill between sections included a "proprietary" solution Epstein devised to help you resolve issues with a grantor trust you in 2006 to transfer assets to your children while avoiding approximately \$1 billion in gift estate tax between the Dechert report also claimed that Epstein assisted you with a "step-up-base transaction" ("the step-up-basis transaction") designed to save you an additional \$600 m taxes.

The Committee's initial letter, a copy of which is attached, asked detailed question the nature of Epstein's tax and estate planning services as well as details regarding payr

¹ Letter from Senator Ron Wyden, Chairman, Senate Finance Committee to Apollo Global Management, Jun 2022.

² Jeffrey Epstein Charged in Manhattan Federal Court With Sex Trafficking Of Minors, U.S. Department of Jul. 8, 2019, https://www.justice.gov/usao-sdny/pr/jeffrey-epstein-charged-manhattan-federal-court-sex-traffminors

³ Apollo Global Management, Inc. *Investigation of Epstein/Black Relationship and Any Relationship Betwee Epstein and Apollo Global Management,* available online at https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1411494/000119312521016405/d118102dex991.htm

⁴ *Id.* at pg. 3: "Epstein regularly advised [Leon] Black on a variety of issues related to trust and estate plant philanthropy, and the operation of the Family Office" and at pg. 4: "[Leon] Black compensated Epstein for in amounts that were intended to be proportional to the value provided by Epstein. Those payments for wo performed over the period 2012 through 2017 totaled \$158 million."

⁵ *Id.* at pg. 9: "There was a consensus among witnesses that Epstein offered a unique solution to a potentia planning problem that arose out of a trust known as the 2006 Grantor Retained Annuity Trust (2006 GRAT pg. 10: "Witnesses differed on what the value of the estate tax would have been today or in the future if the had not been resolved, but they believed the estate tax liability could have been as much as \$1 billion or m Epstein approached Black with his solution – which Epstein asserted was proprietary – and Black agreed to Epstein to implement this solution. Outside legal counsel described the solution as a "grand slam" and one all of Black's financial and estate planning goals."

⁶ *Id.* at pg. 10: "Epstein appears to have provided significant value to a subsequent transaction that address loans between [Leon] Black and certain family trusts for the purpose of achieving a tax benefit for his child at pg. 11: "Epstein estimated that this transaction had saved \$600 million in value and Black appears to ha with that estimate."

Epstein. Payments to Epstein, at an annualized rate of \$23 to \$26 million per year, was he than the median CEO pay for Fortune 500 companies, which Fortune calculated at \$15.9 for 2021The Dechert report indicated that the amounts paid to Epstein far exceeded those which you paid to other professional⁸ advisors.

Since then, members of my staff held several meetings with your outside counsel as Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP ("Paul Weiss") and Brownstein Hyatt Farber Sc LLP ("Brownstein") to discuss your cooperation with the Committee's investigation. In the meetings, your outside counsel indicated that you were unwilling to answer questions redetails of payments to Epstein. However, your counsel indicated you were willing to prove Committee information related to tax issues involving the 2006 Grantor Retained Annuit ("the 2006 GRATs"), the remainder trust into which the assets from the 2006 GRATs flow into ("the remainder trust"), and the step-up-basis transaction. The Committee subsequed directed follow up requests for information to you on 2023 and Bebruary 623, which you responded to on Jarthand Hebruary 21, 2023, respectively.

While the Committee appreciates the information you have provided, the response declined to answer several questions related to important tax issues concerning the asset the remainder trust, claiming that these requests were "outside the scope of the Commit purview!" This claim is without merit, as the Senate Finance Committee has jurisdiction all matters related to federal tax laws. Investigations into matters pertaining to the operation of the committee of internal revenue laws are squarely within the Committee's legislative put

Among the issues you refused to address were the extent to which you received ex distributions of income from the assets held in the remainder trust, important details on mutual release of claims strategy that Epstein may have devised, and the degree to which retained voting powers with respect to assets held in the "remainder trustion is essential for the Committee to evaluate the means by which you retained income from you ownership of Apollo holdings while avoiding gift and estate taxes on the transfer of enorm wealth to your children.

The Committee also remains concerned by your continued refusal to answer questive related to payments to Epstein and how such amounts were calculated in relation to any provided. To date, the Committee has not received a sufficient explanation as to why Epstein amounts vastly exceeding that paid to other attorneys and accountants involved

⁷ The top 10 highest paid CEOs of the Fortune 500, Fortune, May 28, 2022, https://fortune.com/2022/05/28 paid-ceos-in-america-2021/

⁸ Apollo Global Management, Inc. Investigation of Epstein/Black Relationship and Any Relationship Between

Epstein and Apollo Global Management, available online at https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1411494/000119312521016405/d118102dex991.htm; (at pg. 17: clear that the compensation paid by [Leon] Black to Epstein far exceeded any amounts Black paid to his other professional advisors ")

⁹ Letter from Senator Ron Wyden, Chairman, Senate Finance Committee to Leon D. Black, Jan. 13, 2023; E from Majority Staff, Senate Finance Committee to outside Counsel to Leon Black at Paul, Weiss, Rifkind W. & Garrison LLP, Feb. 6, 2023.

¹⁰ Memorandum submitted on behalf of Leon Black by Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP to Ser Ron Wyden, Chairman, Senate Finance Committee, Feb. 21, 2023.

¹¹ Id.

transactions, and why you were willing to pay Epstein over \$100 million without a writte services agreement or contract.

Overpayments of income and other issues arising from trust tax avoidance so

A central focus of the Committee's investigation is understanding what role Epstein played in solving an estate tax issue involving the 2006 GRATs. The Dechert report first described this issue as an estate tax "problem" that if left unresolved would lead to a pot estate tax liability for your children of \$1 billibit be mechert report also claimed that Epstein offered a "proprietary" solution that helped address this problem and allowed yokeep the assets in the 2006 GRATs outside of your taxable estate. In order to better under this transaction, the Committee sent several requests for information related to tax issue from the 2006 GRATs, the assets held in the 2006 GRATs, and the solution Epstein proposensure the assets would not be subject to gift and estate taxes.

In response to the Committee's investigation, you indicated that there were no issuproblems with the 2006 GRATs themselves. Instead, you clarified that there was a tax-reconcern with the remainder trust which the assets held in the 2006 GRATs were to be trainto upon the expiration of the 2006 GRATs were to be trainto upon the expiration of the 2006 GRATs were to be training to make the same that the assets held in would be included in the remainder trust, there was concern that the assets held in would be included in your taxable estate. Internal Revenue Code Section 2036(a)(1), if a taxpayer gives away assets to a third party while retaining the right to income from thos those assets are included in the taxpayer's gross estate at the taxpayer's death. A related provision under Section 2035(a) provides that gifts of property made within three years donor's death would be included in the decedent's taxable estate.

¹²Apollo Global Management, Inc. *Investigation of Epstein/Black Relationship and Any Relationship Betwee Epstein and Apollo Global Management,* available online at https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1411494/000119312521016405/d118102dex991.htm; (at pg. 9: "was a consensus among witnesses that Epstein offered a unique solution to a potential estate planning pro arose out of a trust known as the 2006 Grantor Retained Annuity Trust (2006 GRAT)" and at pg. 10: "Witned differed on what the value of the estate tax would have been today or in the future if the issues had not been resolved, but they believed the estate tax liability could have been as much as \$1 billion or more."

¹³ Memorandum submitted on behalf of Leon Black by Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP to Ser Ron Wyden, Chairman, Senate Finance Committee, Jan. 16, 2023 (at pgs. 1 & 2: "The memorandum respect proceeds on the belief that the premise of the question – that there were 'issues with the 2006 GRAT' is ba misunderstanding. There were no issues or problems associated with the 2006 GRATs themselves. The 200 GRATs functioned as intended, and were in no way out of the ordinary for such instruments, nor were they problematic. Instead, there was a potential tax-related concern with the trust ('the remainder trust') into wassets held in the 2006 GRATs were to be transferred into upon the expiration of the GRATs.")

¹⁴ Id at pg. 2: "Under the terms of the remainder trust, the trustees were to distribute to Mr. Black income

¹⁴ *Id.* at pg. 2: "Under the terms of the remainder trust, the trustees were to distribute to Mr. Black income by certain specified assets. The trustees had a right to terminate Mr. Black's income interest. Under Interest. Revenue Code Section 2036(a)(1), if a taxpayer gives away assets to a third party while retaining the right from those assets, those assets are included in the taxpayer's gross estate at the taxpayer's death."

¹⁵ *Id.* at pg. 2: "A related estate tax issue involved Section 2035(a), which provides that if the decedent relin a power with respect to transferred property (which would include relinquishing an income interest of this during the three-year period ending on his date of death, that property would also be included in his gross Despite the fact that, as noted above, the trustees, rather than Mr. Black, would be terminating Mr. Black's interest, and therefore Section 2035(a) would not be implicated, out of an abundance of caution, and given Black's age, all parties wanted to act promptly to resolve this issue (without a potential three-year tail)."

At the time the 2006 GRATs were funded, the assets in the remainder trust had an appraised value of approximately \$58.5 Thickingsets in the remainder trust comprised of your interest in various Apollo partnerships prior to Apollo's initial public offering, which advisors believed could reasonably be expected to increase in value over time to an amorexceeding \$2 billion perating on the basis of a tax rate of 40% to 50% for net federal and state estate taxes, the estimated tax liability resulting from these assets being included i estate at time of death could have exceeded \$1 billion.

In order to prevent the Apollo assets in the remainder trust from being subject to gestate taxes, you consulted with Epstein and retained established trust and estate lawyer Weil Gotshal and Paul Weiss to devise a solution. Epstein proposed that the trustees of the prepare an accounting to ascertain the amount of income that was distributable to you use remainder trust agreement compared to the amounts that were in fact This tributed to you accounting revealed that you had been over-distributed income by the trustees of the restrust. This review also revealed that the trustees distributed income to you from assets of than those identified in the remainder trust agreement of these overpayments, your advisors believed that the trustees of the remainder trust agreement had a claim against claw back excess distributed have alleged a claim for breach of fiduciary duty.

¹⁶ *Id.* at pg. 4: "The 2006 GRATs were funded with: a 30.35% interest in Apollo Management III, LP, with an appraised value as of funding of \$460,000; a 30.35% interest in Apollo Management IV, LP, with an appraised value as of funding of \$1,420,000; a 30.35% interest in Apollo Management V, LP, with an appraised value funding of \$3,690,000; a 30.35% interest in Apollo Management VI, LP, with an appraised value as of funding \$45,600,000; a 26.8% interest in Apollo Investment Management, LP, with an appraised value as of funding \$227,000,000; a 26.9% interest in Apollo Value Management, LP, with an appraised value as of funding of \$9,600,000; a 44% interest in Apollo SVF Management, LP, with an appraised value as of funding of \$87,0 a 44% interest in Apollo Asia Management, LP, with an appraised value as of funding of \$20,800,000; a 44% interest in Apollo Europe Management, LP, with an appraised value as of funding of \$98,800,000; a 44% in Apollo Alternative Assets, LP, with an appraised value as of funding of \$98,800,000; a 44% in Apollo Advisors VI, LP and Apollo Advisors VI (EH), with an appraised value as of funding of \$10,535,335." Memorandum submitted on behalf of Leon Black by Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP to Ser Ron Wyden, Chairman, Senate Finance Committee, Feb. 21, 2023 (at pg. 5: "the values of the assets held i remainder trust could reasonably be expected to increase to an amount exceeding \$2 billion by the time of Black's death.")

¹⁸ *Id.* at pg. 5: "Operating on the basis of an assumed tax rate of 40% to 50% for net federal and state taxes the estimated tax liability savings as a result of those assets no longer being included in his estate was esti exceed \$1 billion."

¹⁹ Memorandum submitted on behalf of Leon Black by Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP to Ser Ron Wyden, Chairman, Senate Finance Committee, Jan. 16, 2023 (at pg. 3: "Mr. Epstein proposed that the prepare an accounting to ascertain the amount of income distributable to Mr. Black under the trust agreen the amounts that were in fact distributed to him.")

²⁰ *Id.* at pg. 3: "That accounting revealed that the trustees had inadvertently over-distributed income to Mr. ²¹ *Id.* at pg. 3: "Specifically, the trustees distributed to Mr. Black income attributable to assets other than the identified in the trust agreement as the source of Mr. Black's income interest."

²² *Id.* at pg. 3: "For that reason, the trustees of the remainder trust had a claim against Mr. Black to claw be excess distributions."

²³Id. at pg. 3: "On the other hand, had the trustees removed Mr. Black as a beneficiary of the remainder trublack might have alleged a claim for breach of fiduciary duty."

According to your attorneys, it was at this point that Epstein allegedly proposed a solution, which involved a mutual release of claims by and between you and the trustees remainder trust. The settlement and mutual release provided that you would lose your ri income from the remainder trust while confirming that you would not assert any claim for removed as a beneficiary of the trust, in exchange for the trustees agreeing to not seek to back any excess distributions of the trusteen of claims, with each side "receiving consideration As a result of this scheme reportedly devised by Epstein, your tax advisors the position that you no longer had the income rights from the remainder trust that would caused the trust's assets to be included in your taxable estate (as outlined in Section 203 (1)). Your tax advisors also took the position that this solution eliminated any potential rinclusion of the assets in your taxable estate arising from the three-year tail caused by S 2035(a).

In order to fully understand the tax implications of the trust scheme devised with Epstein's assistance, Committee staff sent a set of follow up questions on the matter to y counsel on February 6, 2023. Unfortunately, you have refused to answer or provide infor regarding several important tax-related questions arising from this transaction, including following:

- First, you have refused to answer questions regarding the amount by which you we overpaid income by the trustees of the remainder trust. You have also refused to at questions on the period in which these distributions occurred and how the amount income that was over-distributed was calculated. The Committee is concerned by the possibility that you may have received impermissible distributions of income worth millions of dollars beyond that allowable by the terms of the remainder trust.
- Second, you have refused to answer questions related to the consideration provided trustees or the consideration provided by the trustees as part of the mutual release claims. As such, the Committee has no idea what financial exchange or other consideration was provided in order to keep assets worth billions of dollars out of taxable estate. It is possible that, if your release of a right to income from the remainstrust was for less than full and adequate consideration, such release of claims could constitute an additional taxable gift.
- Third, you have refused to answer questions on the extent to which you retained vo control of shares in Apollo and its partnerships while shifting those assets into the

²⁴ *Id.* at pg. 3: "Mr. Epstein's solution contemplated a mutual release of these claims by and between Mr. B the one hand, and the trustees of the remainder trust, on the other. The settlement and mutual release pro Mr. Black would lose his income right, while confirming that he would not assert any claim for his removal beneficiary, in exchange for the trustees not pursuing claims to claw back the excess distributions."

²⁵ *Id.* at pg. 3: "Both sides thus agreed to a formal release of these potential claims, with each side receivin consideration."

²⁶ *Id.* at pg. 3: "The ultimate result was that Mr. Black no longer had the income rights that would have pote caused the trust's assets to be included in his estate at death, without risking estate inclusion under Section 2035(a) (if he were to die within three years of the mutual releases)."

remainder trust to avoid gift and estate taxes. As you may be aware, under the prince of Section 2036 of the Internal Revenue Code, property transferred to a trust with to which the transferor retains enjoyment or retains certain voting rights may be includable in the transferor's taxable estate under certain circumstances.

Lastly, you have refused to answer questions as to whether you borrowed heavily a the assets held in the remainder trust. The Committee requested information on who you, or any entities you are affiliated with, including your family office (Elysium Management LLC), have taken out any loans against the value of the assets held in remainder trust. This request included questions on the value of these loans as well powers you, the trustees or any other parties held with respect to the loans from the remainder trust.

This arrangement raises serious concerns that, in spite of significant violations of terms of the remainder trust, you were potentially paid millions of dollars in excess incorkeeping enormous amounts of wealth outside of your taxable estate. The Committee need further information to assess how this scheme essentially served as a workaround to fedelaws which clearly state that such distributions of income would cause the assets held in remainder trust to be subject to gift and estate taxes.

Further, questions surrounding retained voting rights of business interests held in and the potential to borrow against those assets raises significant public policy concerns retained voting rights with respect to business interests fall outside of the scope of Secti 2036(b), the possibility that wealthy individuals might assert to the IRS that they do not business interests held in trust for gift and estate tax purposes, while simultaneously bor against those interests and retaining voting power, raise serious questions about the interest our gift and estate tax system.

You have confirmed to the Committee that the IRS has not reviewed the 2006 GRA the remainder trust or the mutual release of claims scheme as part of an audit, raising years concerns about the IRS's visibility into such transactions and the agency's compliance effects.

Epstein's role in the step-up-basis transaction lacks substantiation

The Committee submitted detailed requests for information related to Epstein's rostep-up-basis transaction involving certain family trusts created for the purpose of avoid According to the Dechert report, Epstein allegedly provided "significant value" to the step-basis transaction, which will apparently save you approximately \$600 million in future given the committee of the co

estate taxes The Dechert report also stated that while the idea for the complex transaction not originate with Epstein, Epstein "played an instrumental role in completing it."

However, responses you provided to the Committee minimized Epstein's involvement the transaction, stating that "the idea was in the public domain and originated with Black legal advisors. Nevertheless, Epstein tried to take credit for the idea and secure compensation for the step-up-basis to contributed to your decision to terminate your professional relationship with Mr. Epstein Committee is concerned that these responses are at odds with the Dechert report's claim Epstein's role in the transaction was "significant" or "instrumental." In fact, your response not provide any information clarifying how Epstein contributed any value to the transact outside of that provided by other legal advisors.

The responses you provided the Committee did not substantiate Epstein's services to the step-up-basis transaction and cast further doubt on whether his efforts merited the of \$20 million. Based on the information you have provided thus far, this is an extraordinamount to pay any consultant or attorney, particularly without a formal services agreement contract, written or otherwise. According to the Dechert report, there was an "understant between you and Epstein that Epstein would be compensated for some portion of the value conferred from any idea that "originated" from the step-up-basis transaction did not originate with Epstein, but instead originated with other legal advisors.

Despite Epstein's limited and heavily disputed role in the step-up-basis transaction Epstein demanded payment of 60^2 fillide from mittee still does not know how or why you settled on a payment of \$20 million instead of the \$60 million Epstein demanded for the

²⁷ Apollo Global Management, Inc. *Investigation of Epstein/Black Relationship and Any Relationship Betwee Epstein and Apollo Global Management,* available online at

https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1411494/000119312521016405/d118102dex991.htm; (at pg. 10: appears to have provided significant value to a subsequent transaction that addressed certain loans betwee Black and certain family trusts for the purpose of achieving a tax benefit for his children" and at pg. 11: "E estimated that this transaction had saved \$600 million in value and Black appears to have agreed with that estimate.")

²⁸ *Id.* at pg. 11: "Outside counsel stated that this transaction did not originate from Epstein but that Epstein nevertheless played an instrumental role in completing it."

²⁹ Memorandum submitted on behalf of Leon Black by Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP to Ser Ron Wyden, Chairman, Senate Finance Committee, Jan. 16, 2023 (at pg. 5: "Finally, the question assumes Epstein formulated this proposal. This idea was in the public domain and originated with his other legal ad Nevertheless, Mr. Epstein tried to take credit for the idea and secure compensation.")

³⁰ *Id.* at pg. 5: "The resulting dispute, including over Mr. Epstein's compensation for his advice on this proposition to Mr. Black's decision to terminate his professional relationship with Mr. Epstein."

³¹Apollo Global Management, Inc. *Investigation of Epstein/Black Relationship and Any Relationship Betwee Epstein and Apollo Global Management,* available online at

https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1411494/000119312521016405/d118102dex991.htm; (at pg. 18: appears to have been an understanding between Black and Epstein that Epstein would be compensated for portion of the value conferred from any idea that originated from Epstein.")

³² *Id.* at pg. 18: "Epstein claimed full credit for the transaction and demanded payment of \$60 million, which have been 10% of a perceived benefit of \$600 million that Epstein asserted the basis transaction provided."

transaction date, you have not provided any explanation as to how payments to Epstein with respect to the step-up-basis transaction were determined and whether any statement work or other documents properly substantiating Epstein's role in the transaction even explanation.

The Committee believes that payments to Epstein with respect to the step-up-basis transaction merit further investigation. Compensation at these amounts in an estate and planning matter is irregular and unusually high, particularly since Epstein's contribution transaction relied on the guidance of other legal advisors. In this case, Epstein's compensation respect to the transaction was documented to have been significantly higher than year advisors on these matters.

Refusal to provide information regarding Epstein's compensation scheme

The Committee remains concerned about the payments to Epstein in amounts that represent a highly unusual compensation scheme. Unfortunately, you have refused to co with inquiries into payments to Epstein, raising serious concerns regarding the lack of substantiation for the extraordinary amounts of such payments.

According to the Dechert report, you paid Epstein \$158 million between 2012 and an amount that seems inexplicably high based on currently available information. This compensation scheme, which averaged approximately \$25 million per year, appears to be excess of expected compensation for tax and estate planning services – particularly in a where Epstein's work was required to be vetted by other legal and accounting profession times was not viewed as useful, and included instances of substantial misrepresentations laws. Despite not being a certified public accountant or licensed tax attorney, Epstein was amounts that far exceeded what you paid other professional advisors, including some of high-priced legal counsel in the 5 nation.

In order to better understand Epstein's compensation scheme, the Committee substantial detailed set of questions and document requests. These requests included copies of any sunsigned agreements with Epstein, the written service agreement you entered into with on February 13, 2013 and documents related to payments made to Epstein as part of the agreements. This request specified that these documents should include any statements or descriptions of the services rendered by Epstein for which he was compensated as part these agreements.

 $^{^{33}}$ Id. at 19: "As discussed previously, Black agreed to pay Epstein \$20 million rather than the \$60 million d by Epstein."

³⁴Apollo Global Management, Inc. *Investigation Of Epstein/Black Relationship And Any Relationship Betwee Epstein and Apollo Global Management*, available online at https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1411494/000119312521016405/d1Atapage@ggnothalh

of Epstein's advice was useful"; at page 4, "such advice was vetted consistently by Blacks' other advisors, in Family Office employees, Paul Weiss, and other outside legal, accounting, and tax professionals"; at page 1 Epstein's] ideas would appear plausible at face value, but did not hold up under scrutiny"; at page 17, "Blaunder the misconception that his payments to Epstein would be tax deductible ('sixty cent dollars') because what Epstein had told Black.")

³⁵ *Id.* at pg. 17: "It is clear that the compensation paid by [Leon] Black to Epstein far exceeded any amounts paid to his other professional advisors."

Additionally, the Committee requested information and documents on subsequent payments that were made to Epstein after 2013 on an *ad hoc* basis, without negotiating services agreements request asked for documents related to the \$70 million Epstein w paid in 2014 and the \$30 million was paid in 2015, and asked for statements of work or descriptions of services rendered by Epstein related to his work on the step-up-basis trained estate, tax planning, tax audits and filings, or any other work provided by Epstein. To Committee also requested an explanation of how compensation amounts for Epstein were decided in payments made on an *ad hoc* basis where no formal services agreement was negotiated.

At every stage of the Committee's investigation, you have refused to answer these questions or provide any documents related to how Epstein's compensation was determined. First, you refused to answer the questions regarding Epstein's compensation in the Committee's letter submitted on June 22, 2022. Following a briefing with Committee and your outside counsel on August 1, 2022, Committee staff submitted a second set of coregarding Epstein's compensation **SEhessequestions** also inquired about advice Epstein provided in relation to your private art collection, which your outside counsel confirmed briefing has a value of more than \$1 billion. Again, you refused to provide answers to the questions.

Subsequently, Committee staff met with your outside counsel at Paul Weiss and Brownstein at the Finance Committee's offices, where your counsel again reiterated your unwillingness to cooperate with any requests related to Epstein's compensation scheme, including information on how Epstein's compensation was determined and evidence substantiating the services he provided on tax and estate planning matters. They also reit that you were unwilling to describe to the Committee how these payments were categoritax purposes.

Arrangement with Epstein raises concerns of whether such amounts represente income or a gift

The Committee remains deeply concerned by your continued refusal to substantiat explain Epstein's compensation scheme. The lack of transparency surrounding payments Epstein, the extraordinary amount of such payments, the apparent lack of a formal service agreement, and the fact that you apparently did not claim such compensation as a tax-deexpense raises questions about whether such payments would be properly characterized taxable gift, or as payment for services rendered. The Dechert report states that you retain

³⁶ *Id.* at pg. 16: "Starting in 2014, Black began to pay Epstein for his ongoing services on an ad hoc basis, we negotiating written service agreements. In total, Black paid Epstein \$70 million in 2014 and \$30 million in these amounts, Black attributed \$20 million to Epstein's work on the step-up basis transaction and attributed remainder to the various other matters including his advice about estate, tax planning, tax audits, and filin managing Black's artwork, Family Office management, and advice regarding Black's yacht and airplane as above."

³⁷ Email from Majority Staff, Senate Finance Committee to outside counsel to Leon Black at Paul, Weiss, Riwharton & Garrison LLP, Sep. 20, 2022.

Epstein to advise you and your Family Office on a "variety of topics related to trust and e planning, tax issues, philanthropic endeavors, and the operation of the Family Office."

As noted previously, the amounts paid to Epstein were shown to be far in excess of payments to your other advisors, and even customary compensation of Fortune 500 CEO on what is known about the services Epstein performed, payments to Epstein, which equ an average annualized amount of \$25 million, would likely represent payments well in ex reasonable compensation. IRS audit guidance indicates that questions around reasonabl compensation typically arise in family businesses and closely-held business arrangement which the firm pays compensation in amounts determined to exceed what would reason charged for those ser viche guidance states that such cases may also implicate gift and estate tax avoidance.

According to IRS guidance, factors considered in determining reasonable compens include: possible conflicts of interest and relationships to the company, an individual's recompany, internal consistency in the company's compensation to other individuals, and e comparisons of compensation with that of similar companies for similar services, among

In addition, the IRS defines a "gift" as any transfer to an individual, either directly indirectly, where full consideration (measured in money or moneys worth) is not received returff.IRS regulations further provide that transfers made in the ordinary course of business. are not considered gifts, provided the transaction is "bona fide, at arm's length, and free any donative intent."

Generally, business payments to an employee or contractor are deductible as reason and necessary expenses under Section 162. While personal expenses are generally not to deductible, certain expenses involving a family office may be deductible under Sections 212. In addition, the Internal Revenue Code specifically denies deductions for amounts in representing reasonable compensation.

The Internal Revenue Code also denies deductions of any amount areated as a gift. Gifts in excess of the annual exclusion amount reduce any remaining amount of a taxpay lifetime unified exclusion against the gift and estate tax, and are subject to the 40% gift extent such gifts exceed that exclusion amount.

³⁸ Apollo Global Management, Inc. Investigation Of Epstein/Black Relationship And Any Relationship Between Epstein and Apollo Global Management, available online at https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1411494/000119312521016405/d1A8page&gBlackm

retained Epstein to advise Black and the Family Office on a variety of topics related to trust and estate plan issues, philanthropic endeavors, and the operation of the Family Office..")

³⁹ Reasonable Compensation Job Aid for IRS Valuation Professionals*, Internal Revenue Service, Oct. 29, 20 available online at https://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-utl/Reasonable%20Compensation%20Job%20Aid%20for%20 %20Valuation%20Professionals.pdf

⁴⁰ Frequently Asked Questions on Gift Taxes, Internal Revenue Service,

https://www.irs.gov/businesses/smallbusinesses-self-employed/frequently-asked-questions-on-gift-taxes ⁴¹Treas. Reg. § 25.2512-8.

⁴² I.R.C. § 162.

⁴³ I.R.C. § 274 (b).

The Dechert report states that from 2013 through 2017, you were under the misconception that your payments to Epstein would be tax-deductible, based on advice for Epstein. During that same period, you paid Epstein \$158 million. It appears that you determined at some point that such amounts were not tax-deductible, however, the Decheropert does not provide any details on when that determination was made, why you determine those payments were not tax-deductible, or how such payments were characterized for the purposes. The Committee requested information and documents to determine how such deductions were treated on previously-filed tax returns or whether any payments to Epstecharacterized as gifts.

As Chairman of the Senate Finance Committee I have long been concerned that ultimide high net worth individuals frequently employ sophisticated tax avoidance schemes to circ federal gift and estate tax laws. With the assistance of sophisticated advisors, the wealth percent of Americans often exploit estate planning and loopholes in the tax code to avoid hundreds of millions, or billions, of dollars in gift and estate taxes. As a result, this select of Americans is able to use trusts and other structures to transfer enormous untaxed survealth to their children.

I am also concerned that the decimation of IRS enforcement resources over the last decade has led to a decline in compliance with federal gift tax filing requirements. As IRS of gift tax returns have plummeted, the Committee's investigations have identified several instances where gifts far exceeding the annual gift tax exclusion may not have been propreted on federal tax filings.

The Committee is conducting several investigations into the means by which ultranet worth persons avoid or evade paying federal taxes, including gift taxes. These investigation to address loopholes in the tax code. For example, the Committee investigating the growing use of Private Placement Life Insurance by the wealthies. Americans as a tax shelter to avoid paying billions of dollars in income, gifthend estate to Committee's investigations into offshore tax evasion schemes involving individuals with a citizenship have also uncovered other challenges related to the enforcement of gift tax latexample, the Committee's investigation into Credit Suisse identified several situations we expatriating from the United States was part of a strategy to evade gift taxes while concepts offshore accounts from the IRS.

The facts and circumstances surrounding your business arrangement with Epstein a variety of novel issues as they relate to circumventing gift and estate tax laws. Due to limited information you have provided the Committee, a significant number of open ques remain regarding the tax avoidance scheme you implemented with Epstein's assistance,

was under the misconception that his payments to Epstein would be tax deductible ('sixty cent dollars') be is what Epstein had told Black.")

⁴⁴ For 2013 to 2017 the annual gift tax exclusion was \$14,000 and the lifetime exclusion amount was appro \$5 million.

⁴⁵Apollo Global Management, Inc. *Investigation Of Epstein/Black Relationship And Any Relationship Betwee Epstein and Apollo Global Management*, available online at https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1411494/000119312521016405/d1Atapagedex296Black

including whether the exorbitant amounts paid to Epstein should have been classified as federal tax purposes. The Committee also needs more information to assess whether bill dollars in assets are being improperly kept out of your taxable estate. In order to better understand your business arrangement with Epstein, please provide answers to the follo questions no later than September 1, 2023:

- 1. The response provided on January 16, 2023 indicated that the trustees of the rematured trust prepared an accounting to ascertain the amount of income distributable to M under the trust agreement and the amounts that were in fact distributed to him. Pl provide the total amount that was distributed to Mr. Black from the remainder trust well as the amount that was intended to be distributed to him under the terms of the agreement. Please also provide the period in which these distributions occurred and describe how the amount over-distributed was calculated.
- 2. The response provided on January 16, 2023 indicated that the mutual release of claresulted in both sides receiving consideration. Please describe the value and natur consideration involved in the mutual release.
- 3. The response provided on January 16, 2023 indicated that the 2006 GRATs were further with a 30.35% interest in Apollo Management III LP; a 30.35% interest in Apollo Management V, LP; a 30.35% interest in Apollo Management V, LP; a 30.35% interest in Apollo Investment Management, a 26.9% interest in Apollo Value Management, LP; a 44% interest in Apollo SVF Management, LP; a 44% interest in Apollo Asia Management, LP; a 44% interest in Apollo Europe Management, LP; a 44% interest in Apollo Alternative Assets, LP; and 142 points of each of Apollo Advisors VI, LP and Apollo Advisors VI (EH). Please answer the follow questions related to the interests that funded the 2006 GRATs:
 - a. Are any of these assets still held by the remainder trust? If so, please provide up to date accounting of the capital and/or profit ownership percentage and estimated dollar value of the interest held by the remainder trust in Apollo Management III LP, Apollo Management IV, LP Apollo Management V, LP; Apollo Management VI, LP; Apollo Investment Management, LP; Apollo Value Management, LP; Apollo SVF Management, LP; Apollo Asia Management, LP Apollo Europe Management, LP; Apollo Alternative Assets, LP; Apollo Adviso VI, LP and Apollo Advisors VI (EH).
 - b. Please provide the most recent appraisal of the remainder trust's interest in Management III LP, Apollo Management IV, LP Apollo Management V, LP; Apollo Management VI, LP; Apollo Investment Management, LP; Apollo Value Management, LP; Apollo SVF Management, LP; Apollo Asia Management, LP Apollo Europe Management, LP; Apollo Alternative Assets, LP; Apollo Adviso VI, LP and Apollo Advisors VI (EH).

- 4. Have you, or any entities you are affiliated with, including Elysium Management Litaken out any loans against the value of the assets held in the remainder trust (whe held directly or indirectly through ownership of partnerships)? If so, please provide dollar value of those loans, as well as any loan terms (including interest rates, secular amounts repaid), and please describe any powers you, the trustees or any other parties have held or exercised with respect to loans from the remainder trust. Do you a share of recourse debt or guarantee any debt of the entities described in question.
- 5. How many shares of Apollo Global Management, Inc. stock are held by the remaind trust? Please describe the number, value, and class of these shares.
- 6. Please describe whether you have retained (or previously retained) any voting power with respect to the assets in the remainder trust. If so, please describe the percent voting power you have retained for each of the funds described in question 3, and other voting powers you have retained with respect to the assets in the remainder Please also describe what percentage of voting power you have retained related to held in the remainder trust with respect to Apollo Global Management, Inc., calcul under the principles of IRC 2036(b)(2) as of today or the most recent accounting. It also confirm whether you are, or previously were, a general partner of, or member management company for, any of the funds described in question 3.
- 7. The Dechert report claims that "in 2013, payments (to Epstein) were memorialized signed and unsigned agreements." Please provide copies of any compensation agree you signed with Epstein. Please also describe any "unsigned agreements" between and Epstein where Epstein was compensated for work related to trust and estate place tax issues, issues relating to artwork, your airplane, your yacht, and other similar in the compensation of the provided reports of
- 8. The Dechert report claims that you and Epstein negotiated a "written service agre that was signed on February 13, 2013. Please provide a copy of this agreement, as any documents related to payments made to Epstein as a result of this agreement. documents should include any statements of work or descriptions of the services reby Epstein related to payments made under the agreement.
- 9. The Dechert report claims that Epstein was paid \$56.5 million in five installment payments over 2013 and 2014 as part of an agreement that was never signed. The agreement was apparently renegotiated and the total amount paid was apparently million. Please provide all documents related to payments you made to Epstein res from this unsigned agreement, including any statements of work or descriptions of services rendered by Epstein as part of the agreement.
- 10. The Dechert report claims that starting in 2014, you began to pay Epstein for his services on an ad hoc basis, without negotiating written service agreements. As pa this, Epstein was paid \$70 million in 2014 and \$30 million in 2015. Please provide

documents related to payments made to Epstein in 2014 and 2015, including any statements of work or descriptions of services rendered by Epstein related to his with the step-up-basis transaction and estate, tax planning, tax audits and filings, or any advice provided to you and your family office.

- 11. The Dechert report claims that "it is clear the compensation paid by Black to Epst exceeded any amounts Black paid to his other professional advisors." Please explain Dechert LLP made this assessment. Please also provide documents showing how Epstein's compensation compared to other professional advisors, including attorned providing tax, trust and estate planning services and certified public accountants was assisted you with tax matters.
- 12. The Dechert report claims that "after 2013 payments were made by Mr. Black to Epstein on an ad hoc basis based on Black's perceived value of Epstein's work." Ple provide a detailed description of how compensation amounts were decided for Epstein including any documentation of trust and estate planning and tax consulting service rendered by Epstein for you or your family office.
- 13. In a briefing with the Committee on August 1, 2022, your outside counsel indicate Epstein would often demand compensation from Black that far exceeded what you initially willing to pay for his services. Despite the absence of a written services agreement or other contract, it appears that you made large payments to Mr. Epst 2014, 2015, and 2017. Please provide a detailed written description of the amount Epstein initially requested he be paid during those years, the process by which you Epstein negotiated and agreed to a payment amount, and why you agreed to pay substantial sums to Epstein despite the absence of a written service agreement. Pl also provide copies any relevant documents related to decisions regarding how mu Epstein was paid for his services.
- 14. The Dechert report claims that from 2013 through 2017, you were under the misconception that your payments to Epstein would be tax-deductible, based on adfrom Epstein.
 - a. Please describe whether you claimed income tax deductions for payments to Epstein for any taxable year during this period, as well as when and why you determined that such payments were nondeductible.
 - b. Please describe whether you amended your tax returns after learning that the payments to Epstein were not tax-deductible.
 - c. Please include all substantiation documents with respect to any income tax deductions you previously claimed.
 - d. Please describe whether any payments you made to Epstein were characterized gifts for tax purposes, and please provide any documents, including tax return related to any reportable gifts made to Epstein.

- 15. In a briefing with the Committee on August 1, 2022, your outside counsel indicate Epstein provided substantial advice related to your private art collection, which is over \$1 billion. This advice reportedly included helping you form a new art partner as well as assistance in connection with the sale of certain pieces of artwork. Pleas provide detailed answers in writing for the following items:
 - a. What was the purpose of the new art partnership you formed with Epstein's assistance? How did Epstein assist in the formation of that partnership?
 - b. Please provide more details regarding any art loans that involved Epstein, including Epstein's role related to those loans.
 - c. Please provide a list of any like-kind exchange transactions Epstein helped execute for any pieces you owned valued at over \$1 million, including a detail description of the tax benefits obtained through the execution of these transactions.
 - d. Please provide a list of art sales valued at over \$1 million Epstein assisted yo with.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Ron Wyden

United States Senator Chairman, Committee on

Finance